

SESSION 1

1. Work product: Angela et al.

- 1.1. DPs need to be assessed for completeness to go forward to approval- Identified WG for review, see 1.3
- 1.2. Profile Guides need to be assessed for completeness to go forward to approval- Needs to be identified
- 1.3. Package for review by WG includes: Instructions for Review, Report c063371_ISO_IEC_29110-5-6_2_2014, Profile Guide, DP

Package for Review by WG			
WG Name	Chair	Domain/Enabler	Deployment Package
Architecture	Mike Wilkinson / Richard Martin / Alain Faisandier	Process Enablers	Physical Functional Architecture
Configuration Management	-	-	Configuration Management
Defense Systems	Karl Geist	Application Domains	Product Deployment
Patterns	Bill Schindel	Transformational	Complete package for WG to WG collaboration
PM-SE (Emerging)	Jean-Claude Roussel / Tina Srivastava / Gary Smith	Process Enablers	Program Management, Assessment
Process Improvement	John Clark	Transformational	Integration, Interface
Requirements	Mike Ryan	Process Enablers	Requirements, V & V

1.4. Intermediate Profile review, not released by ISO at the time of IW2017

2. Tools, Strategies, and Methodologies

- 2.1. MBSE Strategy for VSE: Chris Mellroth and Gael Bondell will collaborate on MBSE for VSE
- 2.2. Agile and SAFe 4.0: Bob Parro
 - 2.2.1. Comparing and contrasting the 29110 framework with SAFe 4.0. 2.2.2. SAFe 4.0 and 29110; what is the discussion?

3. Coordinate with other INCOSE WG, lexicon for consistency.

- 3.1. DPs to respective WGs- See Sec. 1.3
- 3.2. Schedule other DPs according to appropriate WG- Schedule Review Packages, see Sec. 1.3

Preliminary review comments from AWG:

Please find attached a set of comments from a few of our AWG members (copied in). Apologies again that we have not had much time to provide feedback because of my administrative error. (FYI, in the UK Jan 31st is the deadline for people to submit tax returns, so I expect quite a few will be preoccupied.)

You will see that some of the comments are detailed but I think these are the high level points:

- The principles adopted look good for a Traditional System and Traditional Systems Engineering context. It isn't clear what the VSE should do if his problem does not fit that context - for example if the project is very small and adopting agile approaches, or the 'product' is a service offering, etc.
- I suspect many VSEs would be put off by the level of effort required to adopt the recommendations in your paper (both in terms of detail and formality). Would it be possible to capture the essence of SE in something even more lightweight?

As you know, there will be an AWG meeting on Monday, so please feel free to join in and discuss your paper with the attendees. (I will not be there in person myself but my co-chair Richard Martin (also ccd) will.)



Topics of Discussion

1. Communications: TBD

- 1.1. SEBok: get on track for the fall cycle
- 1.2. Webpage support would be helpful

2. VSE Global targets: TBD

- 2.1. New Arabic countries- first encounter strategy
- 2.2. Mature VSE sites, Europe, UK
- 2.3. Target Conferences for VSE informs budget 2018
- 2.4. Parse current documentation to create a brochure for chapters and conferences 2.4.1. Link to EuroSPI: <u>http://2016.eurospi.net/</u>
- 3. ISO Updates and access to 29110 STD at INCOSE Connect: Claude/Ken Ptack
 - 3.1. Service Delivery Deployment Package 2018
- 4. INCOSE-PMI Alliance and relevance to VSE: Jorg L

5. Local Chapter Engagement

- 5.1. Chicagoland local person; Bob P
- 5.2. Other local chapter engagement

6. Budget Review

6.1. Communicate this through our regular meetings

Outcomes: Survey to Worldwide chapters on VSE use

Trade Groups as a source of target market? Do you know about VSE? Who using it Are there VSEs? If you are a VSE what is your process? Do you know about some VSE Group? Look for VSE success testimony; video

Tailoring 15288: successes/failures, challenges

Updates:

Rudy introduced guidance on tailoring the DPs and use guidance

Gael updated us on the MBSE for the VSE Rover Project

Add on: VSE Process Graphic

