

Modeling Capabilities and CONOPS using UAF

3DEXPERIENCE[®]

Copyright © **2020 Dassault Systemes.** All **Rights Reserved.** No part of this document may be reproduced in any form, including, but not limited to, photocopying, translating into another language, or storage in a data retrieval system, without prior written consent of Dassault Systemes. Barry Papke Director of Professional Services CATIA/No Magic



Course Outline

- ► Relationship between UAF, UPDM and DoDAF
- ► Representation of ICD/JCD contents in UAF
 - ⊳ Strategic Domain
 - ► Capabilities, Performance Standards and Conditions
 - > Operational Domain
 - ► CONOPS
 - ⊳ Resource Views
 - Capability Realization and Deployment
- Demonstration of Traceability Across Domains





In the beginning, there was DODAF!

- DODAF began as the Command, Control, Communication, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (C3ISR) Framework in 1996.
- Its focus was on the end-to-end flow of critical information through systems and systems-of-systems to ensure that required data produced by one system would reach the consuming system within the time, accuracy, content and format required.
- It introduced the concept of thinking about the system in terms of an Operational, Systems and Standards perspective.





DODAF 2.0 - Enterprise Architecture

- As an Enterprise Architecture a DODAF 2.0 architecture as really a collection of related architectures:
 - > The Capability Architecture
 - > The Operational Architecture
 - > The Service Architecture
 - > The System Architecture
 - > The Data Architecture
 - > The Project Architecture



DODAF 2.0

Each Architecture represents a subset of the overall enterprise with the model elements and relationships relevant to that specific perspective.





What is UAF?

- UAF is a profile extension of UML and SysML.
- ► UAF is an OMG standard.
- It provides a common language and notation for model elements, views, and viewpoints across multiple frameworks for describing an enterprise architecture.





UAF Expanded the DODAF/UPDM Framework

UAF leveraged the existing frameworks (DODAF, MODAF, NAF, DNDAF, etc.) and lessons learned from 15 years of industry usage, and combined best practices to create the next generation enterprise architecture framework.





DODAF/UPDM – Views and Viewpoints



The **3DEXPERIENCE** Company



Model Kind

		Taxonomy Tx	Structure Sr	Connectivity Cn	Processes Pr	States St	Interaction Scenarios Is	Information If	Parameters Pm	Constraints Ct	Roadmap Rm	Traceability Tr
	Metadata Md	Metadata Taxonomy Md-Tx	Architecture Viewpoints Md-Sr	Metadata Connectivity Md-Cn	Metadata Processes Md-Pr					Metadata Constraints Md-Ct	-	Metadata Traceability Md-Tr
	Strategic	Strategic Taxonomy	Strategic Structure	Strategic Connectivity		Strategic States				Strategic Constraints	Strategic Deployment	Strategic Traceability
	51	St-Ix	St-Sr	St-Cn		St-St				St-Ct	Strategic Phasing St-Rm	St-Ir
	Operational Op	Operational Taxonomy Op-Tx	Operational Structure Op-Sr	Operational Connectivity Op-Cn	Operational Processes Op-Pr	Operational States Op-St	Operational Interaction Scenarios Op-Is			Operational Constraints Op-Ct	-	Operational Traceability Op-Tr
	Services Sv	Service Taxonomy Sv-Tx	Service Structure Sv-Sr	Service Connectivity Sv-Cn	Service Processes Sv-Pr	Service States Sv-St	Service Interaction Scenarios Sv-Is	Conceptual Data Model	Environment Pm-En	Service Constraints Sv-Ct	Service Roadmap Sv-Rm	Service Traceability Sv-Tr
	Personnel Pr	Deveened	Danaannal	Demonstral	Davaannal	Demonst	Personnel			Competence	Personnel Availability	
Jai		Taxonomy Pr-Tx	Structure Pr-Sr	Connectivity	Personner Processes Pr-Pr	States Pr-St	Interaction Scenarios	Logical Data Model		Drivers	Personnel Evolution	Personnel Traceability Pr-Tr
οŭ						11 SK	Pr-Is			Performance Pr-Ct	Personnel Forecast Pr-Rm	F1-11
	Resources Rs	Resource Taxonomy Rs-Tx	Resource Structure Rs-Sr	Resource Connectivity Rs-Cn	Resource Processes Rs-Pr	Resource States Rs-St	Resource Interaction Scenarios Rs-Is	Physical Data Model	Measurements Pm-Me	Resource Constraints Rs-Ct	Resource evolution Resource forecast Rs-Rm	Resource Traceability Rs-Tr
	Security Sc	Security Taxonomy Sc-Tx	Security Structure Sc-Sr	Security Connectivity Sc-Cn	Security Processes Sc-Pr	-	-			Security Constraints Sc-Ct	-	Security Traceability Sc-Tr
	Projects Pj	Project Taxonomy Pj-Tx	Project Structure Pj-Sr	Project Connectivity Pj-Cn	Project_Activity Pj-Pr	-	-			-	Project Roadmap Pj-Rm	Project Traceability Pj-Tr
	Standards Sd	Standard Taxonomy Sd-Tx	Standards Structure Sd-Sr	-	-	-	-			-	Standards Roadmap Sd-Rm	Standards Traceability Sd-Tr
	Actuals Resources Ar		Actual Resources Structure Ar-Sr	Actual Resources Connectivity Ar-Cn		Simulation				Parametric Execution/ Evaluation	-	
						Dictiona	ry Dc					
						Requirem	ents Rq					





Domain Specific Elements, Relationships and Diagrams

Operation Domain







Domain Specific Elements, Relationships and Diagrams

Resource Domain







Addition of SysML Concepts

- In addition to new Views and Viewpoints, UAF added a number of SysML concepts to the framework that were missing from UPDM:
 - > A UAF stereotype of Interface Blocks
 - Parametric Diagrams and Constraint Blocks for analysis of Measurement Properties
 Instances



UAF Model Elements and Relationships

- As an enterprise architecture framework, UAF defines:
 - A set of viewpoints (domain perspectives).
 - Model elements and relationships internal to that viewpoint.
 - Relationships between model elements across viewpoints.
- Model elements and relationships within domains common to UPDM are identical (or at least very similar) in UAF.





JCIDS ICD and UAF

- ► Key ICD Components
 - 1. ICD Main Body
 - A. Concept of Operations Summary
 - Describe the Concept, CONOPS, Unified Command Plan-assigned mission to which the capabilities in the ICD contribute.
 - B. Joint Capability Area (JCA)
 - c. Required Capability
 - 1. Definitions of the identified capabilities should satisfy two rules:
 - i. Capability definitions must contain the required operational attributes with appropriate qualitative parameters and metrics, e.g., outcomes, time, distance, effect (including scale), obstacles to be overcome, and supportability.
 - ii. Capability definitions should be general enough so as not to prejudice decisions in favor of a particular means of implementation but specific enough to evaluate alternative approaches to implement the capability.
 - D. Capability Gaps and Overlaps or Redundancies





Capability Representation

- ► Capability
 - ▷ A high level specification of the enterprise's ability to execute a specified course of action.
 - > The ability to achieve a desired effect under specified [performance] standards and conditions through combinations of ways and means [activities and resources] to perform a set of activities.







Capability Taxonomy

- ► Taxonomy relationships may be:
 - ⊳ Generalization
 - ▷ Composition▷ Containment







Modeling Specified Performance Standards and Conditions

- Conditions and specified performance standards should be represented by Measurements.
- Measurements that represent performance standards can be typed by Value Types.
- Measurements that represent conditions can be typed by Conditions, Environments and/or Locations.
- Measurement Values should be defined as Default Values.

No Magic

Modeling Specified Performance Standards and Conditions

- Environment
 - > A definition of the environmental factors in which something exists or functions.
 - ▷ Environment properties are typed by an Environment, Condition or Location.
 - ▷ Environment have a property called "Kind":
 - ► Terrain Type
 - Weather Conditions
 - ► Light Conditions
 - ► CBRNM Environment
 - ► Situation Type
- Location
 - > A specification of the generic area in which a Location Holder is required to be located.
 - ▷ Structural Elements are Location Holders.
- Condition

No Magic

Defines the Location, Environment, and/or Geopolitical Extent under which a Performer, Resource, Service must operate or in which an Operational Activity, Function or Service Function can be performed.

Desired Effects

- Desirer Capability, Operational Element, Organization Element, or Resource Element that desires a particular effect.
- Achiever An Actual Resource, Organization, Person, Post, or Enterprise Phase that can deliver a Desired Effect.
- Effect A model element that represents the actual state of something that is desired or achieved.
 - > Desired Effect A dependency relationship between the Desirer and the Effect desired.
 - > Achieved Effect A dependency relationship between the Achiever and the Effect achieved.

- System Engineering Applications for a CONOPS (from the MITRE Systems Engineering Guide)
 - ▷ A means of describing a user's operational needs without becoming bogged down in detailed technical issues that shall be addressed during the systems analysis activity.
 - A mechanism for documenting a system's characteristics and the user's operational needs in a manner that can be verified by the user without requiring any technical knowledge beyond that required to perform normal job functions.
 - A place for users to state their desires, visions, and expectations without requiring the provision of quantified, testable specifications.
 - A mechanism for users and buyer(s) to express thoughts and concerns on possible solution strategies. The CONOPS document allows users and buyer(s) to record design constraints, the rationale for those constraints, and to indicate the range of acceptable solution strategies [1].

[1] IEEE Computer Society, March 19, 1998, *IEEE Guide for Information Technology—System Definition—Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document* (IEEE Std 1362-1998).

- The CONOPS addresses the leadership's intended way of operating the organization.
- It may refer to the use of one or more systems (as black boxes) to forward the organizations goals and objectives.
- The CONOPS (document) describes the organization's assumptions or intent in regard to an overall operation or series of operations of the business with using the system to be developed, existing systems, and possible future systems.

ISO/IEC/IEEE 29148

- The Operational Domain is where we describe how we want the system or collection of systems in the enterprise to operate from the users/operators perspective.
 - \triangleright It is solutions independent.
 - \triangleright It describes what, not how.
 - > It describes how we want things to work together, not how they work inside.
 - ▷ It provides requirements and constraints on any proposed solution.
- In addition to a CONOPS, there are other architectural concepts that may require elaboration in the Operational Views:
 - ▷ Concept of Maintenance
 - ▷ Concept of Training
 - Concept of Deployment
 - \triangleright Concept of Disposal

- Questions we want the Operational Domain to answer:
 - \triangleright The operational environment and its characteristics.
 - ▷ Major elements and the interconnections among these elements.
 - \triangleright Interfaces to external elements or procedures.
 - > Required capabilities or functions of the proposed elements.
 - Inputs, outputs, data flow, and manual and automated processes sufficient to understand the proposed concept from the user's point of view.
 - \triangleright Cost constraints on the concepts operations.
 - \triangleright Operational risk factors.
 - ▷ Required performance characteristics, such as speed, throughput, volume, frequency.
 - Required quality attributes, such as: reliability, availability, correctness, efficiency, expandability, flexibility, interoperability, maintainability, portability, reusability, supportability, survivability, and usability.
 - > Required provisions for safety, security, privacy, integrity, and continuity of operations in emergencies.

Typical CONOPS Views

Connectivity

Operational-Capability Traceability

Operational Activity to Capability Mapping

Legend		Strategic Taxonomy [Model::Strategy]																			
✓ [¬] Maps To Capability (Implied) ✓ MapsToCapability			orin			1	N	0													
			Duite			Se	3Se	3Se													
			ž			Ē	Æ	Ē		Se 1	es.	Se		8	8	89			1	2	m
			ß		AR	AR	AR	AR		ha	ha	ha		Å.	Å.	Å.			3Se	ase	ase
		Ince	s Si	AR	je G	e o	e o	e o	Ű	0	0	0	-/-	STV F	Y F	Y F			£	£	Æ
		sista	tres	sp	-tê	μĘ.	Æ	-te	itar	AR	AR	AR	No.	NOC	No.	NOC	2	-f-	-c-	-C-	-b
		Ase	Dis	Lar	Man	Mai	Mai	Mai	N	MS	MS	NS I	Rec	Rec	Rec	Rec	SAI	Š	Š	Š	Se
		0	\odot	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	0	\odot	0	0	0	0	0	\odot	0	0	0	0	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	0	\odot	0
Operational Processes [Model::Operational]		13	8	26	5	29	29	32	6	6	6	7	19	19	19	19	29	18	18	18	18
- Allocate Search and Recovery Resources	6			1		7	27	1	7								17				
Analyze Distress Signal and Manage Searc	2					27				7											
- 🔶 Analyze Distress Signal and Manage Searc	2						1				7										
- 🔿 Assist Victim	10	7		17		17	1	1					7	17	17	17	17				
- < Conclude SAR Operation	7				\nearrow	27	27	2		17	1	27									
— Deliver Rescued Party to Safe Place	9			1		\mathcal{P}^{π}	1	17						17	1	17	17				
- Oistress Health and Asset Control	16	1	17	1		17	1	1	17				1	17	1	1	17	1	1	17	7
- 🖓 Find Victim	9			27		27	27	27									27	7	27	17	1
— Anage Individual SAR Operation ph3	2							\mathcal{A}^{T}				17									
- 关 Manage MSAR Rescue ph1	1													7							
- 🔶 Manage MSAR Rescue ph2	1														7						
- 🔶 Manage MSAR Rescue ph3	2							17								7					
- 🔶 Manage MSAR Search ph1	1																		7		
- 🔶 Manage MSAR Search ph2	1	İ.																		7	
- 🔶 Manage MSAR Search ph3	2							1													7
- 🔶 Manage SAR Resources	6	i –		্স		7	্য	7	7								7				
- 🔶 Monitor For Distress Signal	10	i	7	্স		7	্য	27									7	7	7	27	2
Monitor Health	14	7		্স		27	্য	27					7	17	7	7	7	7	2	्रत	Ż
- A Perform Treatment	14	্ৰ		্স		্স	ুস	্য					ূস	্ৰ	্স	্স	্ৰ	্স	্য	ূৰ	Ż
Prepare Reception	14	्रत		्रत		्रत	्रत	्रत					्रत	्रत	्रत	,7	्रत	्रत	्रत	्रत	ĺ,
Process Warning Order	14	Ż		्रत		्रत	्रत	्रत					Ż	्रत	्रत	, 7	्रत	Ż	्रत	्रत	ĺ,
Provide Medical Assistance	10	7		्रत		्रत	्रंग	্স					7	्रत	্ৰ ্য	ر ار	्रत	-		,	,
	14	.7		्र न		्रंग	्रत	्रत					्रत	्रत	्र न	, , न	्रंग	্য	,7	्रत	7
Receive and Handle Distress Signal	9	1		, , त		्रंग	্ৰ	, ,त					·	1	-	1	,त	ź	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,त	7
Receive Distress Signal	6		7	्रत		्त ्रत्र	्रत	<u>्र</u> न									्रत	-	1	-	-
	0		1	্ৰ ্য		्रत	्रत	्रत					7	्रत	্স	্স	्रत				
Request and Initiate SAR Resources	7			1	7	्रत	্য	्र ्रत		্য	٦,	্স	1	1	1	1	1				
	17	্স	,7	্স	1	्र	्र ्रत	्रत		1	1	1	77	্স	্য	,71	74	্স	,71	,7	.7
SAP C2 Collaboration ph3	2	1	1	1		1	1	। ্য				7	11	1	1	1	11	1	1	1	1
Sarch	16	্স	.7	,7		.7	্য	। ্য					্য	.7	.7	٦.	,7	71	٦.	.7	.7
Coards and Pergue phase 1	20	<i>र</i> ्य	्र	्र	.71	्र	्र ्रत	्र	্য	্য	.7	.7	्र	्र ्रत्र	्र		्र	77	्र	्र	6
Search and Rescue phase 1	20	1	7	7	1	7	<i>४</i> ज	/ 7	<i>४</i> ज	7	<i>।</i> ज	7	<i>४</i> ज	<i>र</i> ं ज	<i>2</i> ं ज	1	7	7	7	/ 	1
Search and Rescue phase 2	20	7	7	7	7	2	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	1	2	1
Search and Rescue phase 3	20	1	1	7	1	1	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Send Distress Signal	5			17		1	1	1					7	7	7	7	~				
Send Warning Order	10			1		1	1	1					/	12	1	1	17	-	-		
Track Victim	9	_		24		24	2	1					-	-	-	-	1	4	1	24	2
Transfer from SAR Operation	13			2		1	2	1					1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1
	14			1		1	2	1					7	1	1	1	17	~	1	17	1

Operational Performers to Capability Mapping

Legend		· 📩 :	Strat	egic	Tax	ono	my [Mod	el::S	Strat	egy]															
			ð	Ē			SAF	SAF	SAF	SAF		Ě	Ě	Ě	oca		Å	ĥ	Å				ase	ase	ase	ġ
Exhibits (Implied)		ance	E	SS	Ļ	SAR	ne	ne	ne	ne	U N	C	C	C	L	ery	erv	ery	erv		2	L	F	Æ	Æ	er 3
		sist	L	stre	forn	ğ	aritir	aritin	aritir	aritir	litar	SAR	SAR	SAR	sitic	COV	NO N	SOV	00	4	Å	arc	arc	arc	arc	eath
		As	Ö	ā	Ē	La	ž	ž	ž	ž	Ξ	ž	ž	ž	Å	Re	Re	Re	Re	S	S	Se	Se	Se	Se	M
	_	9	9	9	9	U	9	0	0	0	0	9	0	9	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	9	9	9	9	9
Operational Taxonomy [Model::Operat		8		8		11	1	14	13	12	2	4	2	1		8	9	9	9	13		5	6	6	6	
Asset Control for SAR	6					1		1	1	1	/									1						
- Bistress Handling ph1																										
- 😪 Distress Handling ph3																										
- 😪 Distress Monitoring	6			7		1		1	1	1										17						
- 🕀 Distress Signal Handling ph2																										
- 🐣 Distress Signal Handling ph3																										
- 😪 Distressed Party	5					17		17	17	17										7						
- 🍪 Maritime SAR Operational Architectu	17	1		17		1		1	1	1	17	17				17	17	17	1	17		17	1	17	1	
- 🔅 Maritime SAR Operational Architectu																										
- 🍪 Maritime SAR Operational Architectu																										
- & MSAR Tactical C2 ph1	2							1				7														
- 😓 MSAR Tactical C2 ph2	4							17	17			17	7													
- & MSAR Tactical C2 ph3	7						7	17	17	17		17	17	17												
- & Rescuer ph1	12	7		7		1		1	1	1						7	7,7	1	17	17						
- & Rescuer ph2	12	17		17		1		1	1	17						17	17	77	17	17						
- & Rescuer ph3	12	17		17		17		17	17	17						17	17	17	77	17						
	15	7				17		17	17	17						7	17	17	17	7,7		7	17	17	17	
	17	\nearrow		7		1		1	17	1						7	17	1	17	77		7	77	17	17	
- 😪 Searcher ph2	16	17		17		17		17	17	17						17	17	17	17	17		17	17	7,7	17	
	16	17		17		17		17	17	17						17	17	17	17	17		17	17	,7	7,7	

Operational Risks and Mitigations

Operational Risks and Mitigations can be analyzed and modeled in the Security Domain.

Resource Domain – Material Solution to Enable Capabilities IAW CONOPS

Resources and Capability Configurations "exhibit" capabilities and own In Service and Out of Service Milestones (time phasing.)

Traceability Demonstration

Questions??

