

EMEA



RAIL INTEGRATION Workshop

11 October 2019

Agenda

- 0930-0950 Introduction
- 0950 1020 Background Presentations
- 1020 1040 Problem Definition
- 1040 1110 Small Group Sessions
- 1110 1145 Group Presentations
- 1145 1200 Summary





Introduction (0930 – 0950)

Why this is important!



- Purpose: Conduct a Rail Integration Workshop to:
 - Provide unity and cooperation amongst the participants Key to any integration work!
 - Inform the Dutch Railways and other metropolitan railways on new ideas
 - Define and Develop a reusable Generic System Integration Framework
 - Have Fun!



- Administrative items
 - Emergency Exits
 - Feedback and Comments
 - Facilitator Comments

- What we will be doing:
 - Meet and Greet Meet someone new and say "hi" $\, \textcircled{\odot} \,$
 - Insights and Trends (Mike and Jan)
 - Shaping the Workshop Your inputs and ideas
 - Small Group discussions
 - Group Presentations

• Summary

Mike Morua – My Journey

- Born in California (San Francisco Bay Area) 1959
- Grandparents worked for the railroad They came from Mexico in the 1920s
- Saw the beginning of BART (Bay Area Rapid Transit) in 1970s
- Graduated High School 1978, University of California, Berkeley 1982 BS EECS
- US Naval Officer 1982 to 2002, lived in Norfolk, VA, served on 2 aircraft carriers, 2 cruisers, 1 frigate, taught at US Naval Academy, MSEE from Naval Post Graduate School
- Boeing Employee 2002 to 2006, worked as Systems Engineer on F/A-22 and US Army's Future Combat System
- Atkins Employee 2007 to 2014, Moved to the UK, worked as Systems Engineer for MoD projects and London Underground, Thameslink and RAILCORP (Australia) rail projects
- Frazer Nash Employee 2014 to Present, worked on UK Digital Rail Programme, MoD projects, Digitalisation projects for HM Govt and Nuclear infrastructure management projects

I am still on my Journey!



Framework: Decision Making & Governance



Framework: Use of Architecture

Operational Scenarios

- Passenger Service
- Train Control Operations
- Logistics & Maintenance
- Training
- Planning

•



Organis

Inf ation

All Capability consists of a combination of:

- People
- Organisation
- Technology
- Information

Training Skills Personal Relationships Personalities

Systems

Trackside Infrastructure
Train
Train Control
Training systems
Other Infrastructure

Networks and Communications
Data

Framework: Align Lifecycles



Framework: Multi-disciplinary & Incremental Approach **Traceability &**

To Be

- Combine & Integrate Programme Management, **Enterprise Arch & Sys Eng Approaches**
 - Visualise "As Is" with incremental improvements to "To Be"





Generic System Integration Framework

• Need to include:

- Decision Making and Governance
- Architecture
- Alignment
- Migration Strategy (Capability and Technology)



- The Framework represents an agreed way to proceed and deliver
- Bring Order out of Chaos!

Jan Verbeek– background

- Born in Haaften, the Netherlands in 1959
- Studied at TU Delft Aerospace Engineering
- Started at Fokker Aircraft in 1985
 - Final assembly operations at start of series production F50 and F100;
 - Combining design for assembly with industrial engineering to reduce lead times, manhours and "go down the learning curve"
 - Participated in the introduction of Systems Engineering at Fokker to achieve First time right developments including concurrent engineering and complex supply chain alignment
- One of founding partners of ADSE consulting and engineering in 1996
 - Responsible for aerospace business, industrial engineering consultancy, knowledge management
 - Worked a.o. for Bombardier Aerospace, Airbus, NS/Nedtrain, Damen Shipbuilding and many others
 - Technical project manager for NS to introduce Stadler Flirt sprinter trains in a fast track approach
 - Consultant to introduce/improve SE practices at NS in rolling stock modernisation and acquisition programs
- Part time lecturer TU Delft Production and Life Cycle Analysis 1998-now

Still learning what SE is all about!



Insights & Trends

- Rail transportation systems have been developed over many years
 - These developments have lead to a highly complex systems, from state of the art to long living legacy
 - Rolling stock fleets and infrastructure designed for 40-50 years not easy to change
 - In general, public transport services performs pretty well, but can always be improved
 - Competition from other transport modalities is high
- Society however expect even more from us
 - Higher demand, higher frequencies, new services (MaaS)
 - Shorter time to market of innovations (as set by mobile devices market)
 - No disturbance when implementing new services, new rolling stock and new/modified infrastructure,
 - New or changed systems have to work right the first time out of the box
- Boundary conditions
 - Industry is still scattered by local standards and policies, notwithstanding efforts to standardise
 - Developments can be characterised as large scale (system of systems) and a mix of brownfield/greenfield
 - Decision making in the public domain / many stakeholders, creating an additional challenge

• Questions?





Background Presentations (0950 – 1020)

Meet and Greet

- Please take 30 second to meet the and greet the people around you.
- Please introduce yourself and state:
 - Name
 - Company/organisation
 - What they want out of this
 - What you would change about Rail Sys Integration?



• Write on Post-it note provide (will post on the boards)

Background Presentations

- Discussion on Dutch Railway and Transit network
 - Key issues, layout, goals, challenges, etc.



- Focus for the workshop:
 - Connecting key urban, suburban and rural areas and links to our countries
 - Time, Distance, Frequency of services, Potential revenue opportunities
 - Physical layout that includes geography and signalling, electrical power interfaces and changes in standards, and station platform size and configurations and
 - Stakeholder map needed to address collaboration and organisational boundaries for different transit authorities, suppliers and operators.













Rail transport value chain





Problem Definition (1020 – 1040)

Problem Definition

- Using the wall charts, Identify a problem and a desired end state (one point per Postit Note)
- Place on the As Is and To Be chart.



- The Journey chart will be filled in later. The Journey will also capture activities or enablers to allow the Journey to connect the As Is with the To Be
- The Journey is also an opportunity to learn something along the way

Problem Definition

- Consider other railways and transit areas
- Want to know where we can learn from others or add innovation
- Put comments on Postit
- Place in Journey chart



Small Group Sessions (1040 – 1110)

Small Group Sessions

- Join Groups (based on sections of a Generic Rail System Framework)
- Select groups or use the following:
 - Governance & Decision Making
 - Architecture
 - Alignment of Processes, Management and Contracts
 - Migration Strategy and Phases Capability and Technology Roadmaps
- 4-5 people per group
- Each Group address and consider the problems posted earlier (As Is and To Be).
- Determine the Journey that is needed to address your area. Provide additional insights, principles and guidance that is needed in your section of the Generic Rail System Integration Framework

Small Group Sessions

- Each group has 30 minutes to generate they slides, key points, findings and recommendations.
- Select speakers (can be more than one).
- Groups will also make sure that everyone participates. ③

Small Group Sessions

• Break - 5 minutes





Group Presentations (1110 – 1145)

Group Presentations

• Each Group is given 7 minutes to present and answer questions



Summary (1145 – 1200)

Summary

- Summarise findings.
- Put ideas and key points together (outputs from the 5 groups which address key elements to the Generic Rail Sys Integration Framework)
- Review findings. Answer questions and allow people to fill our feedback forms
- Bedankt!!