

Tutorial: Lean Startup Method (LSM) and Agile (Scrum) Product Backlog Item for Initial Project Planning (IPP)

"Getting It Right, Right From the Start!"

Copyright © 2018 by Michael E. Pafford. Permission Granted to INCOSE to publish and use.



Michael E. (Mike) Pafford Past President, INCOSE Chesapeake Chapter Co-Chairman, INCOSE OOSEM WG Co-Chairman, INCOSE System and Software Interface (SaSI) WG

JHU Instructor (Software Systems Engineering)



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality



LSM/Agile Tutorial Information

Tutorial slides are posted:

- In INCOSE CONNECT:
 - on the Object-Oriented Systems
 Engineering Method (OOSEM) Working
 Group site.
- In Dropbox:
 - https://tinyurl.com/y747jkuw







LSM/Agile Tutorial Information

- The roles and responsibilities of Systems Engineers (SEs), Software Engineers (SWEs), and Project Managers (PjMs) are rapidly evolving.
- The purpose of this Tutorial is to acquaint SEs, SWEs, and PjMs with some current and modern tools and techniques being used, specifically in the initial Project Planning phases of System Development Lifecycle (SDLC) projects.
- Tutorial information will be based on example Problem Statements and User Scenario narratives for a Notional Microgrid Reference Model (uGrid RM) MBSE project integrating hardware, software, data, processes, and people.
- A Primary Objective of the Tutorial is to highlight the value of using best practices from the Lean Startup Method (LSM) and Agile (Scrum) Product Backlog Item (PBI) Development during initial project planning.
- Using information from Tutorial briefings, and the example narrative, attendees will be introduced to LSM/Agile process steps and techniques involved in developing project initial planning information and artifacts such as Product Vision Board Extended (PVBE), Persona Templates, Product Canvas, and High Level User Stories to develop initial system Capabilities.
- The Objective of using LSM/Agile for Initial Project Planning, is to develop an Actionable Set of Project Focus Mechanisms.
 Focus Mechanisms that can be used to enhance the start of any project—no matter the methodology.





LSM/Agile: Right, Right From The Start – Or Else





LSM/Agile Tutorial Objectives

The following are very informal Learning Objectives for this LSM/Agile Scrum PBI Tutorial:

- Better Understand how LSM/Agile can help in Initial Project Planning.
- Become familiar with the following LSM/Agile initial project planning artifacts, or Project Focus Mechanisms:
 - Project and Product Background Information:
 - Product Vision Board Extended (PVBE)
 - Product 'Personas' (i.e. Stakeholder Groups)
 - Product Canvas
 - Project 'Epic' (High Level) User Stories





LSM/Agile Tutorial Objectives



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile Tutorial Topics

- Tutorial Topics:
 - Review Lean Startup Method (LSM)
 - Review Agile Scrum PBI Development
 - Introduce LSM/Agile for Initial Project Planning
 - "Problem Validation": 'Product/Project Vision Board Extended (PVBE)'
 - "User Validation": 'Persona Templates'
 - "Solution Validation": 'Product/Project Canvas'
 - "Solution Building Blocks": 'Solution-Ready Initial Product/Project Work Items' (e.g., High Level 'Epic' User Stories, Capabilities, etc.)







Lean Startup Method (LSM): Introduction

- Also called, "Lean Launchpad"
- A philosophy and methodology based on 1980s Lean Manufacturing.
- A learnable and shareable **stakeholder-centric** method to quickly and iteratively start to develop a new product or service, or update existing products or services.
- Developed in its current form by American entrepreneur Eric Ries, founder and CEO of the Long Term Stock Exchange (LTSE).
 - A former student of Steve Blank, who pioneered the Lean Startup Movement with his Customer Development concept.

Understanding Stakeholders and Stakeholder Feedback are at the center of successful use of LSM for Initial Project Planning.

Starting with an 'Outcome', not a 'Solution'!





Who's Using LSM?



National Science Foundation (NSF) Innovation Corps (I-Corps)

https://www.nsf.gov/news/special_reports/i-corps/index.jsp

National Geospatial Intelligence Agency (NGA)

https://federalnewsradio.com/fed-access/2017/10/innovation-at-the-nga/

National Security Agency (NSA)

https://www.acast.com/leanstartup/7-season-3-how-lean-startup-co-coaches-support-department-ofdefense-innovation

Office of Naval Research (ONR)

https://steveblank.com/2017/10/10/office-of-naval-research-onr-goes-lean/

U.S. State Department

https://steveblank.com/2016/10/31/the-state-department-gets-schooled-hacking-for-diplomacy/

Dropbox, Wealthfront, Grockit, imvu, Votizen, Aardvark

http://theleanstartup.com/casestudies#dropbox







IPP in the System Development Life Cycle



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality







Figure 31 - Systems Engineering (SE) Engine

https://snebulos.mit.edu/projects/reference/NASA-Generic/NPR 7123 1B.pdf

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

4th Annual Systems

Engineering in Healthcare Conference

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota

LSM/Agile: Example in an Agile Project Lifecycle are Working Focus of Research 4th Annual Systems Target Engineering in Healthcare Stakeholder Product Scrum Development Development Target System Environment (incl. Customer Owner Master Team System Conference Environment anning Project Initiate Product Backlog Performing a Sprint (Time Limited) roject Planning Sprint Review Priority Items & Set Sprint Thematic Goal Forecast Sprint Content Items Performing Sprint Development Attend Daily Scrum Sprint Time Window End Perform Developmental Task Track Daily Progress Refining Future Sprint Backlog Analyze Future Item Requirements Split, Merge, Rescope Future Items Estimate Future Items Conducting Sprint Product Review Inspect Product -Update Product Backlog Not Ready for Relea Conducting Sprint Process Reprospective Retrospective Ready for F 0250 Review Process & Environment ("Dor Adapt Process & Environment Performing Product Release Product Released Release Product Releas Subsequent Life Cycle of Product Release Life Cycle Ended Perform Target Interaction Provide In-Service Feedback

Copyright© 2016 by W. D. Schindel and Rick Dove. Permission granted to INCOSE to publish and use.

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



IPP in the System Development Life Cycle



https://tinyurl.com/y83g9vvl

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile: Example Objectives





LSM/Agile: 'HATS' (Examples)



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile: 'HATS' (Examples)

Eight uGrid RM Project "HATS"

Project Lead

Consumer

(Hospital, etc.)

Customer

(County, etc.)

Engineers

(Systems, Software, etc.)

System Integrator

Producer

Infrastructure

Ops Manager

(County, etc.)

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality





uGrid RM Project - Title and Purpose

Info: sgabriele@gemslearning.net SYSTEMS THINKING ROUNDTABLE

FACILITATOR GUIDE (300 words: 2.5 minutes read aloud)

- At __:00 a.m. begin. Don't read words in parentheses.)
- Welcome, to our Systems Thinking RoundTable (RT). My name is ____, and I am today's facilitator. The RoundTable Guide is in front of you. (Be sure new people have RT Guides). We propose to suspend judgment and experience this together without stopping for 45 minutes today. Let's take one minute and go around the room for initial introductions--about three words: e.g., your first name, role/title, school. (Cue the person on your left).
- Thank you and welcome again to everyone. For our reflection today, I'll suggest the topics _____ (see bottom of page) for everyone to comment on. While we each consider the topics for a few minutes, I'll ask for volunteers to read aloud the RoundTable Guidelines on the right. Will ____ read OUR FORMAT?... OUR PURPOSES?... GUIDELINES FOR LISTENING? GUIDELINES FOR SPEAKING?.. GUIDELINES FOR RESPONDING?...
- (At __:05 a.m. please read...)
- Did anyone come in after the introductions?... Welcome! (Option: Ask for 3-word intro and offer RT Guide).
- 4. Again, today's suggested topics are: ___*. I would like to hear everyone's thoughts about these topics or anything else that is on your mind. Let's each take about (__) minutes to speak. I will use a timer to help us stay on time. Please speak so that everyone can hear. What you say is important to us. (Options: I will start, or we'll start with __) and continue around the circle. (If time permits: a 2nd, 3rd full round.)
- (If there is time) Anyone who hasn't spoken who is ready to speak now?
- (At __:45 a.m. please read...)
- 6. It's time to close.
- Thank you all for coming today. We hope to see you at a future session. If you have something more to say, ask a colleague to listen to you on your way out.

READINGS: RoundTable Guidelines (300 words: 2.5 min.)

OUR FORMAT. Our unique format is a new best practice in systems thinking. We spend 5 minutes listening to short readings and the suggested topics. We then spend 40 minutes on individual comments, time divided equally among all present (e.g. 22 people = 2 minutes each). Each session is facilitated by a different volunteering facilitator chosen from those in attendance.

OUR PURPOSES. We use a facilitator guide/script and basic readings--RoundTable Guidelines--for many reasons: 1- We pack in a great deal of information in a very short time, thus leaving maximum time for each of us to present our ideas. 2- The result is we hear everyone's point of view on a topic. 3- We experience some new real-time effortless practices in equal participation: including rotating, distributed leadership; equal time; as well as a simple scaffold to facilitate conscious self-guided evolution. 4- We have found that just as we break the sound barrier when we travel faster than the speed of sound, we break the communication barrier when we hear 20 authentic viewpoints in 45 minutes—and a different facilitator at each session.

GUIDELINES FOR LISTENING. Listening to the 5 minutes of readings allows us the opportunity to quiet our minds and silently reflect on the topics, the readings, our inner thoughts, and our work and lives. Listening to each other's comments, we hear a great variety of viewpoints. We consciously shift our attitudes from "evaluation" to "valuation," from critiquing to appreciating, from problem-solving to ideal-seeking -- towards one another and towards ourselves.

GUIDELINES FOR SPEAKING. At your turn, please say your name again. Then say something about today's topic, or anything else that is on your mind. Let's each take only one turn to speak and limit our time, so we can offer everyone a turn. Or, if you prefer, pass your turn and just listen today.

GUIDELINES FOR RESPONDING. The facilitator may say "thank you" after you speak. In the interest of time and purpose, we will save all other responses to each other until after the session. We don't want to divert others, or be diverted, from our own individual learning. If someone says something that you want to build on, you may want to make a note of it so you can do so during your turn.

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile: Project Title & Purpose (Examples) **Project Title:** *"Microgrid (uGrid) Reference Model (RM) and Application Process"*

Project Purpose: *"Use LSM to Produce Initial Work Items for uGrid RM Project"*

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality



LSM/Agile IPP Roadmap





LSM/Agile IPP: Process Flow



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality





LSM/Agile IPP: Process Flow



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality





LSM/Agile IPP Step: "Problem Validation"

"PROBLEM VALIDATION"

Product Vision Board Extended (PVBE)

Which market segment does the product address? Who are the target users and ustomers?	Needs How does the product create value for its users? What problem does it solve? Which benefit does it provide?	What product is it? What makes it desirable and special? Is it feasible to develop the product?	Business How is the product going to benefit the company? What are the business goals? Which one is most important?
Competitors Who are product's main competitors? What are their strengths and weaknesses?	Bevenue Sources How can you monetise your product and generate revenue? What does it take to open up the revenue sources?	What are the main cost factors to develop, market, sell and service the product? What resources and activities incur the highest cost?	Channels How will you market and sell the product to the customers? Do the channels exist today?

www.romanpichler.com



-- Compliant with Lean Business Model Canvas (BMC) -- Can be captured and managed as a 'Confluence Space'

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality





LSM/Agile IPP: "Problem Validation" (Example)



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile IPP: "Problem Validation"

VISION: Facilitate a Model-Based Systems Approach to Develop Micro-Grids

TARGET GROUP - System Integrator - Customer	NEEDS - Challenge to reconstruct multiple stakeholder concerns and emerging threats. - Improved collaborative decision-making for acquisition and development.	PRODUCT - Common vocabulary - Multiple views - Decision-making criteria - Scalable scope - Composable - Configurable	MISSION/GOALS 1 Optimize Development 2 Risk Reduction 3 Improved Comms 4 Improved Planning
COMPETITORS - Legacy Methods - Non-Profits - Commercial Enterprises - Governments	REVENUE SOURCES - Governments - Crowd Resources - Non-Profits - Venture Capitalists - Commercial Enterprises	COST FACTORS - Maintenance - Schedule - Training - Tools - Labor (Vols vs Professionals)	CHANNELS - Professional Societies - Conferences - News Media - Government Communications

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



Conference

LSM/Agile IPP: Process Flow



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality





4th Annual Systems Engineering in Healthcare Conference

LSM/Agile IPP Step: "User Validation"

"USER VALIDATION"

Persona Templates

PICTURE & NAME	Q DETAILS	GOAL
What does the persona look like? What is its name? Choose a picture and a name that are representative, and that allow you to develop sympathy for the persona.	What are the persona's relevant characteristics and behaviours? Consider demographics, job, lifestyle, spare time activities, attitudes, and common tasks, for instance.	Why would the persona want to buy or use the product? What problems should the product solve? What benefits does the persona want to achieve? If there are multiple problems or benefits, identify the main one and put it at the top.

-- Can be captured and managed as a 'Confluence Space'







LSM/Agile: "User Validation" (Example)

Personas Ricky the Risk manager Logan the Logisticia - m+m the model - Carl the maintainer City Planner - Eddie the Environmentalist - Rob +he - Nigel the NWEngineer Economics analyst - Sam the SWE - AL the Standards and - Tony the Terrorist Governance Specialist - Tom the Threat - Fred the Tester. Analyst - Ted the Toolsmith - mike the System Integrato-- Paul the Power Provider John the County Planner - Wally the wire Guy - Larry the Insider - Kitty the - Harry the Hacken Configuration Mgr - Sarah the Campus - Nat the Natural Facilities mgr. Resource Provider - Victoria the Venture Capitalist

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



uGrid RM Stakeholder Groups, or "Personas"

4th Annual Systems Engineering in Healthcare Conference

uGrid RM Personas (Stakeholder Groups)			
Ricky the Risk Manager	Carl the City Planner		
Logan the Logistician	Rob the Economics Analyst		
Mike the Model Manager	Sam the Software Engineer		
Eddie the Environmentalist	Nigel the Network Engineer		
Alan the Standards & Governance Specialist	Tony the Terrorist		
Fred the Model Tester	Marty the Systems Integrator		
Ted the Toolsmith	Tom the Threat Analyst		
Paul the Power Provider	John the County Planner		
Wally the Wire Guy	Larry the Insider (Threat)		
Kitty the Configuration Manager	Harry the Hacker		
Nat the Natural Resource Provider	Sarah the Campus Facilities Manager		
Victoria the Venture Capitalist	Rita the Systems Engineer		

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile: "User Validation" (Example)



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality







LSM/Agile: "User Validation" (Example)

ZXample	Persona lemplate	
Picture/Name Title Role	Details	Goals
Sandt Of Ubriden Modeler	- Expert MBSE Modeler. - Systems Engineer - SE Tool(6) Expert - Highly Motivated - (see Resume (CV)	- Demonstrate Value of MBSE in General and Ubrid Romaparticular. - Promote using Models for Decison- making. - Conveg thinking and give direction to Modeling Team.
		- Demonstrate areal Potential Solution for real-world Problems.

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



Conference

LSM/Agile: "User Validation" (Example)

PICTURE/NAME/TITLE	DETAILS	GOALS
	Expert MBSE Modeler Systems Engineer Systems Engineering Tools Expert Highly Motivated	GOALS Demonstrate value of MBSE in general and uGrid RM in particular Promote using models for decision-making Convey thinking and give direction to modeling team.
uGrid RM Modeler	(See Resume and CV)	Demonstrate a real potential solution for real-world problems.





Example "Persona Cards"



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality





LSM/Agile IPP: Process Flow



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile IPP: "Solution Validation"

"SOLUTION VALIDATION" Product Canvas

the users and the customers The desired user experience (UX): the user journeys, the product functionality, the visual design, and the iteration with specific
Personas are a great way to describe the target group. Epics, scenarios, storyboards, workflows, design sketches, mock-ups, and constraint stories are helpful techniques. The items are ordered from one to n, and may be captured as detailed user stories.

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality





Conference

LSM/Agile IPP: "Solution Validation" (Example)



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



uGrid RM Project: Example "Product Canvas" GOAL: Facilitate MBSE for uGrids Product Name: Metrics: uGrid RM -- # of Scenarios Target Group: **Big Picture:** -- # of User Types Trained Logical -- # of Decisions Makers using Ex. Samantha Modeling Use uGrid RM Cases -- # Users Applying uGrid RM Product Details: **High Level** -- Templates for Data Visualization Scenarios Decision (Story -- Templates for Information Support Boards) Visualization uGrid RM -- Aggregate Data into Information Modeler

Improved Planning

Multiple

Views

External

Interfaces

Training

-- Provide at least One Use Case Template for every Scenario

-- etc.

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

(Other Personas

as decided in this Target Group)

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile IPP: Process Flow



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile IPP Steps: "Solution Building Blocks"

"SOLUTION-READY PBIS"

Initial Product Backlog Items (PBIs)



-- Can be linked/shared in tools like Atlassian 'Confluence' & 'Jira'



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile: "Solution Building Blocks" (Example)

	U Gri	J P	2 M	·EPI	cs'
Reflect External de Interfaces	Reflect Internetation Interfacet	Constraints	En able Model Instantiations	Depict Real-Life UGrid Domain	Reflect o Gris Infrastructure
Raffellow Eller Esteblished Madeling Guide lines	Res More De EAU As Present Lann - West & R.M. to Millest comprehension Procurrence placesture of a fyrmal Michaelos	tor Outron (Hopital) Frenction (Hopital) Frenction of the state The a Just de all plants AC & PO prevene at the guantities nue de apr. 24/7/305. Describe States. Rower	S.E/SWE- - DESCRIBE LOGICAL COMPONENDO - PESSER BS Physicae componento - DESCRIBE LOGISBE - DESCRIBE LOGISBE - DESCRIBE POUSE ARSWIDECOURE	Take New INPUTS	Produce Reparter Produces

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile: "Solution Building Blocks" (Example) **Reflect Internal Reflect External** Enable Model Represent uGrid Interfaces uGrid Interfaces Instantiations **Constraints** Describe uGrid Follow **Reflect uGrid** Established **Depict Real-Life** Logical and uGrid Domain Physical Modeling Infrastructure Guidelines Components

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota



LSM/Agile: "Solution Building Blocks" (Example)

Grid RM EPICS the Real Property lies, Sale

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality





Example: "Initial Solution-Ready Work Items"

uGrid RM 'Epics' – Iterations Work



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality



Key Points (1 of 2)

- This Hybrid Lean Startup Method (LSM)/Agile (Scrum) Product Backlog Item (PBI) Development Approach to Initial Project Planning (IPP) has proven itself to be an effective approach to help projects, "Get It Right, Right From the Start".
- A small group of Decision Makers and Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) can use this approach in a series of off-site style workshops to quickly and efficiently develop together an actionable set of IPP Focus Mechanisms that any Development Team can use throughout the life cycle of any system solution project:
 - 'Hats' project perspectives/insights via "Six Hats" exercise
 - Project Title & Purpose via "Systems Thinking Round Table Session"
 - **Product Vision Board Extended (PVBE)** via "Lean Startup Method (LSM)" best practices
 - Persona List and/or Personal Hierarchy via LSM best practices
 - **Persona Templates/Cards** via LSM best practices
 - Product Canvas via LSM best practices
 - Initial Set of Solution-Ready Work Items via Agile (Scrum) Product Backlog Item (PBI) best practices





Key Points (2 of 2)

- This Hybrid Lean Startup Method (LSM)/Agile (Scrum) Product Backlog Item (PBI) Development
 Approach to Initial Project Planning (IPP) is done in Three Primary Phases:
 - <u>'Meetup or Offsite' Phase</u>: Key Decision Makers meet to collaboratively develop **Project Outcomes.**
 - <u>'Clean Up' Phase</u>: IPP Meetup Phase artifacts immediately cleaned up in applications for briefings, etc.
 - <u>'Posted Up' Phase</u>: Cleaned up IPP Meetup artifacts loaded into repository-based collaboration tools for sharing with Project Development Teams.
- This IPP Approach has been proven to be most successful for the Engineering and Management phases or stages of **Systems Analysis (SA)**, Requirements Analysis (RA), and Project Planning.
 - IPP SA and RA artifacts become actionable inputs for subsequent Project Management, Requirements Engineering (RE), Architecture, Architectural Design, Design, etc.





MBSE, LSM, and Agile References

- MBSE Book: Don't Panic! The Absolute Beginner's Guide to Model-Based Systems Engineering; Jon Holt and Simon Perry; 2017; ISBN 978-0-9934857-1-8 http://tinyurl.com/y8bazggw
- LSM Book: The Lean Startup; Eric Ries; 2011; ISBN 978-0-670-92160-7 http://tinyurl.com/y7nvq66c
- LSM Book: The Lean Product Playbook; Dan Olsen; 2015; ISBN 978-1-118-96087-5 http://tinyurl.com/yc9ucoox
- Agile Project Management Book: Agile Product Management with Scrum; Roman Pichler; 2011; ISBN-13: 978-0321605788 https://tinyurl.com/y856stnk
- Agile User Story Book: User Story Mapping: Discover the Whole Story, Build the Right Product 1st Edition; Jeff Patton; 2014; ISBN-13: 978-1491904909 https://tinyurl.com/yby2esm9

How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota





THANK YOU!!

Michael E. (Mike) Pafford

Past President, INCOSE Chesapeake Chapter Co-Chairman, INCOSE Object-Oriented Systems Engineering Method Working Group Co-Chairman, INCOSE System and Software Interface Working Group Instructor, Johns Hopkins University, Software Systems Engineering Trainer; Lean Startup Methods (LSM)/Agile for Initial Project Planning mepafford@verizon.net https://www.linkedin.com/in/mikepafford/



How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

