AM session Chat

0:46:23 Teddy Nyambe:

I am new here, wanted to find out how can access these products!! This very cool stuff

0:47:47 Lou Wheatcraft - RWG Chair: The RWG products are available in the INCOSE Store. A recording of this session and the slide deck will be put on the RWG iNet site. A recording will also be put on the INCOSE RWG YouTube channel.

0:48:15 Teddy Nyambe: Reacted to "The RWG products are..." with 👍

0:48:30 David Ware: I tried to view the guide in the store ealier today and it would not load for me...

0:48:46 David Ware: I'll try again...

1:00:23 Keith Collyer: We considered the mapping idea for the medical device guide (in progress) and rejected it for much the same reason

1:00:37 Christopher D Hoffman: Reacted to "We considered the ma..." with 👍

1:00:47 Lou Wheatcraft - RWG Chair: Reacted to "We considered the ma..." with 👍

1:08:18 Deni Starnes: Reacted to "The RWG products are..." with 👍

1:13:50 Lou Wheatcraft - RWG Chair: Great presentation!!

1:14:11 Christopher D Hoffman: Great overview Beth! Well done, thank you.

1:14:16 Katherine DeVito: You've mentioned security strategies several times. Are these formalized & documented somewhere? How do they relate to requirements?

1:15:00 Marvin Hammond: Great Presentation

1:18:37 George Papavasileiou: Reacted to "Great Presentation" with 👍

1:18:50 Keith Collyer: Reacted to "Great Presentation" with 👍

1:19:34 David Ware:

Were SSEs involved in development of the guide? I there a process to build awareness among SSE experts such as communication with SSE proffesional organizations?

1:21:16 Christopher D Hoffman: Does this guide include any discussion on certification or similar qualifications that are more controls based and how to balance certs vs. desired outcomes?

1:32:21 Rick Dove: Security Worging Group General Meeting Thursday Jan 23 3:00-5:00pm EDT

1:32:26 Rick Dove: Zoom Registration Required: https://incose-org.zoom.us/meeting/register/8iF3uMnWRMul-w6sPY0fjw

1:32:42 David Ware: thank you!

1:32:48	mona humes:	Thank you
1:32:54	Cristian Gheorghiu:	thank you
1:32:55	Katherine DeVito:	Great Presentation Beth!
1:33:04	Vooi Kia Tan:	Thank you
1:33:04	George Papavasileiou:	Thank you!
1:33:09	Shina Prabhu:	Thank you
1:33:11	Benjamin Taggart:	Thank you very much!
1:33:15	Jan Schmidt:	Thank you for the presentation!
1:33:16	Amol Gupta:	Thank you!
1:33:20	euan macfarlane:	Thank you
1:33:28	Deni Starnes:	Thank you, great info!
1:33:32	Sebastian Seiffert:	Thank you for the great presentation!
1:33:36	Miguel Quero:	Thanks a lot! Super interesting 🤝
1:33:37	Marvin Hammond:	Thank You
1:33:44	Ray Borough:	thank you
1:34:16	Karin Böhnke:	thank you
1:34:39	carlo leardi:	thank you, great insights
1:34:41	Luke Sibisi:	Thank you.

PM Session Chat

0:27:23	John Poirier:	EVENT ANNOUNCEMENT - Registration is Open - CAS2025 (Complex Adaptive Systems) Conference, March 5-7, 2025, MIT Campus, Cambridge, MA - Hosts are INCOSE New England Chapter & MIT - Visit Conference Website at https://sites.mit.edu/cas2025/ -
0:36:10	John Poirier:	How do you differenriate between threat based and loss driven approaches?
0:37:20	Lou Wheatcraft - RWG Chair:	For all. Put your questions here in the chat and Beth will address them during Q&A.
0:42:46	Lou Wheatcraft - RWG Chair:	NRVV - Needs, Requirements, Verification, Validation
0:43:14	Lou Wheatcraft - RWG Chair:	NFR - Non functional requirement
0:47:41	Esteban Solórzano:	Thank you for the presentation. I need to jump into another meeting.

Time	Speaker	Message
0:49:39	John Poirier:	Implicit in a loss is an emergent need to adapt. How does adaptability play?
0:52:21	Andrew Pletta:	Perhaps it's too early to ask such a question, but how does this approach help a system with a SHA-256 encryption mechanism deal with being completely undone by someone with a sufficiently powerful quantum computer?
0:53:51	Lou Wheatcraft - RWG Chair:	Does the threat based approach include both external threats and internal threats?
1:00:45	Joseph Mendoza:	I agree with John, maybe we need some sort of differentiation or definitions between threats and Losses? Where might capability analysis fit in here? I also agree with Lou's question on external vs internal
1:01:55	Andrew Pletta:	Great, thank you!
1:03:54	Joseph Mendoza:	Yes!
1:03:56	Joseph Mendoza:	Thank you!
1:04:47	Dave Fadeley:	How does a program's program protection plan fit in here? Is security more that addressing that?
1:06:27	Shana Fliginger:	Indeed. We need to prevent unintended functions or actions - more than just losses
1:06:57	Joseph Mendoza:	Potentially a risk analysis opportunity?
1:10:26	Lou Wheatcraft - RWG Chair:	Can you talk more about ASARA?
1:10:56	Jan Borowski:	in loss-driven approach there is a need to understand which losses are due to functional defects vs security releated
1:11:02	Franc Gaspersic:	Thank you for the presentation, very informative. Probably a problem for another forum (leadership?) but do you have any advice on how we might go about convincing management to take a different approach to requiring compliance with (in the Australian context) the Information Security Manual (ISM) in function & performance specifications (FPS) and adopting this approach? Is a condensed/management friendly version that describes this approach available?
1:11:08	John Poirier:	I put together a paper on Resourcefulness in Loss Driven SE. It is free and available on my substack at http;//johnpoirier.substack.com. Just say no thanks to the subscribe request. Would be interested in any thoughts and feedback

1:11:48 John Poirier:	
	It addressses many of the areas discussed today from a slightly different perspective security related losses require different set of skill to mitigate vs functional losses
1:14:32 Irwandi Kardjana:	Is threat driven approach inferior to loss-driven approach?
1:15:08 Andrew Pletta:	
	Is this approach rightfully viewed in the context of "Waterfall" methods as opposed to "Agile" methods? (I'm not necessarily proposing here an Agile approach.)
1:17:06 Andrew Pletta:	Hmmm. Could you post a reference to that work?
1:18:55 Beth Wilson:	Rosser, L. (2023). "Applying Agility for Sustainable Security". INCOSE INSIGHT, 26(2), 45-52.
1:19:33 Andrew Pletta:	Lou, is that Figure 2.15 in the NRM?
1:21:43 Lou Wheatcraft - RWG Chair:	Replying to "Lou, is that Figure ..." Figure 6-27 and Figure 11-2.
1:21:52 Ashley Clark-OShea:	How can we measure/ arrive at a 'belief' that a SOI is "acceptable"?
1:22:01 Andrew Pletta:	Thanks for a great presentation!
1:22:08 John Poirier:	This was great!
1:22:14 Franc Gaspersic:	Much thanks to the RWG for their efforts to maximise collaboration through duplicating meetings in two time zones! A model for other WGs.
1:22:23 Joseph Mendoza:	Reacted to "Much thanks to the R..." with 🫶
1:22:24 Ashley Clark-OShea:	Thanks
1:22:41 Monika Morgan:	Reacted to "Much thanks to the R..." with 🫶
1:22:44 Loida Soronio:	Thank you
1:22:54 Joseph Mendoza:	This is great thank you!
1:22:55 Franc Gaspersic:	Thank you Beth, excellent!
1:22:57 Dave Fadeley:	Thank you!
1:23:00 Monika Morgan:	Thank You!
1:23:05 Gaspare Boscarino:	Thank you