



4th Annual Systems
Engineering in Healthcare
Conference

INCOSE

Medical Device Security Assurance and Vulnerability Disclosure

Copyright © 2018 by Matt Russo, Permission granted to
INCOSE to publish and use.


How Systems Engineering Can Reduce Cost & Improve Quality

19-20 April, 2018 Twin Cities, Minnesota




#hwgsec

Risk Management Process Overview




- Medical Device Manufacturers are focused on building security into new products
- This starts with a comprehensive Risk Management Process during the development of new products

Security Risk Management in the System Development Lifecycle



Security Engineering in the System Development Lifecycle



Comprehensive Pre-Market Testing is Key



Pre-Market Testing Requirements

- Appropriate resources
 - Risk is the driver, not schedule or budget
- Appropriate skill
 - Mix of internal and external expertise
- Independent Oversight



Outline of an Internal Testing Function



Product Testing services for use during the development of new products – needs to be in a separate function from R&D

Product Testing

- Independent testing
- Can be iterative
- Could help increase the quality of testing
- Assist in controlling the cost to R&D groups
- Compliment testing strategy to leverage expert 3rd party organizations
- Build out of tools to be leveraged
- Could develop chargeback model

Vendor Organization and Negotiation

- Assist in management of testing 3rd parties
- Vet service firms including core competencies
- Maintain “evaluation” database
- Assist with negotiation of rates


What About Legacy Products



- Risk Management doesn't stop once a product is approved / released
- Ongoing process to monitor security signals for existing products
- May need to publicly disclose vulnerabilities and patch / update
- Vulnerabilities may impact future development and risk decisions

What can a company do to be proactive about legacy risk?

Post Market Testing or Red Teaming



Red Teaming is a process designed to detect network and system vulnerabilities and test security by taking an attacker-like approach to systems. (SANS, 2003)

- Testing process to identify potential issues prior to external discovery

Blackbox: represents a hacker; no information provided prior to assessment

Whitebox: efficient assessment with full access to internal resources and design information provided

Graybox: some information provided, but not full system knowledge

This function can also assist in the independent assessment of security researcher inquiries

<https://www.sans.org/reading-room/whitepapers/auditing/red-teaming-art-ethical-hacking-1272>

Vulnerability Disclosure

The practice of reporting security flaws in computer software or hardware

- Vulnerability disclosure can be a controversial topic
- Regulatory bodies (i.e. FDA) and other US Agencies (ICS / US CERT) are supporting more transparency on this topic
- Can be a challenging time for organizations, developers, and stakeholders responsible for development or management of a product or service
- Important to not to personalize notice of a possible vulnerability
- Critical to remain professional in all communications

Objectives for a Disclosure Program

OBJECTIVES

External

- Create a consistent, comprehensive and global coordinated response capability that allows third parties to easily report vulnerabilities

Internal

- Create a process to receive, understand the impact and create team involvement through triage, verify, and respond to the finder/researcher / users / regulators

Disclosure Program Tips

- Need for a consolidated “intake” process for security inquiries
- Universal agreement that coordinated response is important at all levels
- Identify internal team members across all functions
 - Likely to include legal, Security SMEs, PR, Leadership, etc.
- Have a plan on when something is closed
- Need for widespread visibility into the status of inquiries internally
- Security is NOT an advantage against competitors – shouldn’t be used for marketing

**Someone's feelings are going to get hurt – don't let that
derail the process!**



Anatomy of a General Disclosure Process



Do we need
to do
something?



How big of a
deal is this?



What are we
going to do?



Do it.



What did we
do? Let's make
sure it doesn't
happen again.

Post-release is critical to ensure that lessons learned are used to improve future activities

360 Degree View

Public disclosure of vulnerabilities can be uncomfortable for companies. It challenges internal processes, past business decisions, and strains resources. It's REACTIVE. To be more PROACTIVE, organizations can develop more secure products by leveraging Security Assurance Concepts.

**Thank you for attending!
Share your experiences at #HWGSEC**