



International Council on Systems Engineering
A better world through a systems approach

The Integration of System Dynamics With SysML and MBSE

Ken Cureton, Ivan Taylor, and Al Thibeault



Outline

1. Introduction
2. SysML and MBSE => Structure
3. System Dynamics (SD) => Behaviour
4. Integrating SD with SysML
5. Resilient System Design
6. Benefits of the Integrated Approach
7. Key Takeaways

Introduction



Complex Systems and Resilience

Importance of modelling system structure and behaviour over time

Resilience as anticipating, withstanding, and recovering from adversity



Purpose of the Presentation

Explore the integration of System Dynamics (SD) with SysML and MBSE

Emphasize designing resilient systems


SysML and MBSE ⇔ Structure Diagrams



SysML (Systems Modeling Language)

A standardized modelling language for systems engineering

Uses Diagrams: Block Definition, Internal Block, Activity, Sequence, etc.




MBSE (Model-Based Systems Engineering)

Shift from a document-centric to a model-centric approach
Enhances communication and reduces errors


System Dynamics (SD) \leftrightarrow Behaviour Diagrams



HIGHLY INTERCONNECTED
SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS SOLVED USING
NUMERICAL METHODS



TELLS YOU WHERE YOU ARE
TODAY, HOW YOU GOT HERE,
AND WHERE YOU'RE HEADED
IF NOTHING CHANGES



USES FEEDBACK LOOPS,
STOCKS AND FLOWS, TIME
DELAYS

Need for Integration of SysML and SD

Challenges in Modeling Complex Systems

- SysML and MBSE may capture structure but not all behaviours

Advantages of Integration with SD

- Holistic view of both structure and behaviour

Integrating SD with SysML

Mapping SD Elements to SysML

- Stocks and Flows \Leftrightarrow Blocks and Activities
- Feedback \Leftrightarrow Dependencies and Control Flows
- Differential Equations \Leftrightarrow Parametric Diagrams

Example Languages for SD with SysML

- Modelica
- Simulink

Resilient System Design

Resilience - Ability of a system to provide required capability when subjected to adversity by avoiding, withstanding, and recovering from those adversities

Modeling Resilience

- SysML to identify vulnerabilities and design mitigation strategies
- SD to simulate adversities, adaptation and recovery processes

Benefits of the Integrated Approach



Combines structure
and behaviour
perspectives



Better prediction of
system behaviour after
adversity



Unified models
facilitate stakeholder
engagement

Challenges and Considerations



TOOL COMPATIBILITY AND
INTEROPERABILITY



NEED FOR VALIDATION
AND VERIFICATION



REQUIRES KNOWLEDGE OF
MULTIPLE MODELLING
METHODOLOGIES


Key Takeaways




IMPORTANCE OF
INTEGRATING SD
WITH SYSML



BENEFITS IN
DESIGNING
RESILIENT SYSTEMS



POTENTIAL FOR
FURTHER
RESEARCH AND
DEVELOPMENT



ENCOURAGE
INTEGRATED
MODELLING
APPROACHES

References

- Akbas, Asli Soyler, (2015), *Agent-Based and System Dynamics Hybrid Modeling and Simulation Approach Using Systems Modeling Language*, PhD Dissertation, University of Central Florida
- Johnson, Thomas, Paredis, , Christiaan J.J., and Burkhart, Roger, (2008) *Integrating Models and Simulations of Continuous Dynamics into SysML* The Modelica Association.
- Nikolaidou, M., Kapos, G., Tsadimas, A., Dalakas, V., & Anagnostopoulos, D. (2016). *Challenges in SysML Model Simulation*. *Advances In Computer Science: An International Journal*, 5(4), 49-56.
- Fu, Chao, Liu, Jihong, Yu, Hong Yan, Xu, WenTing, (2020), *A Visual transformation method of SysML model to Modelica model*, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 2020/11/01.
- Samares Engineering, (n/d), *Digital continuity between SysML and Simulink, Part 6, Advanced MBSE with SysML and other languages*, <https://www.samares-engineering.com/en/2020/09/21/part-6-digital-continuity-between-sysml-and-simulink/>
- Samares Engineering, (n/d), *Digital continuity between SysML and Modelica, Part 8, Advanced MBSE with SysML and other languages*, <https://www.samares-engineering.com/en/2020/11/06/part-7-digital-continuity-between-sysml-and-modelica-copy/>





35th Annual **INCOSE**
international symposium

hybrid event



Ottawa, Canada
July 26 - 31, 2025

Oscillating Motion (Mass/Spring System)





Modelica.Mechanics.Translational.Components



In Modelica we have two different types (Flange_a and Flange_b) but they are identical except for the icon. In Modelica this is an important visual cue to recognize the direction of the coordinate system. This same information can be expressed through the name of the usage.

<<block>>
<<ModelicaConnector>>
Flange
values
s : Position
f : Force

How can one make the <<ModelicaFlow>> stereotype show up here?

<<block>>
<<ModelicaModel>>
PartialRigid
{flange_a.s = s - L/2;
flange_b.s = s + L/2;}
values
s : Position
L : Length
<<FlowPort>>flange_a : Flange{isAtomic, direction = inout}
<<FlowPort>>flange_b : Flange{isAtomic, direction = inout}

Length L has been modified, but the tagged values of the property do not show up in the diagram

<<block>>
<<ModelicaModel>>
PartialCompliant
{s_rel = flange_b.s - flange_a.s;
flange_b.f = f;
flange_a.f = -f;}
values
s_rel : Distance
f : Force
<<FlowPort>>flange_a : Flange{isAtomic, direction = inout}
<<FlowPort>>flange_b : Flange{isAtomic, direction = inout}

