Ontological Definition of Seamless Digital Engineering Based on ISO/IEC 25000-Series SQuaRE Product Quality Model

James S. Wheaton Daniel R. Herber

james.wheaton@colostate.edu daniel.herber@colostate.edu
Colorado State University – Department of Systems Engineering

INCOSE International Symposium 2025
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
July 29, 2025

→ Outline

1. Introduction
2. Background
3. Methodology
4. Ontological Definition of Seamless Digital Engineering
5. Conclusions

1

Introduction

→ Digital Engineering Goals: Analyzed and Decomposed



→ Digital Engineering Goals: Establish Infrastructure & Environment



➞ Challenge: The Normalization of Deviance in Modern Computing



We build our computer systems the way we build our cities: over time, without a plan, on top of ruins.

Ellen Ullman, *Life in Code: A Personal History of Technology* (2017)



Figure (left): xkcd: Dependency (2020)
Figure (right): The Error Avalanche (2005)

➞ Defining Seamless Digital Engineering

Following prior work in 'seamless model-driven systems engineering'[1], and inspired by DARPA CRASH[2], CRAFT[3], and META-II[4], we identified and defined a grand challenge[5] in digital engineering research[6]:

Definition (Seamless Digital Engineering)

A digital engineering tooling paradigm that guarantees model coherence and integrity by affording an elegant human-computer interface for systems modeling that is end-to-end formally verified down through the computer hardware.

[1] Broy 2009; Broy et al. 2010; Broy 2020 [2] DARPA 2010a [3] DARPA 2015 [4] DARPA 2010b [5] Moore 2003; Hoare 2003 [6] Wheaton and Herber 2024

→ Research Contributions

- Ontological definitions of essential concepts in Seamless Digital Engineering, based on the SQuaRE product quality model[1]
- Ontological harmonization of concepts in the systems engineering domain of international standards
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology in open-source, machine-readable, standards-based format[2]

https://github.com/systems-praxis/seamless-digital-engineering-ontology

[1] ISO 2014 [2] Wheaton 2025b

→ Research Contributions

- Ontological definitions of essential concepts in Seamless Digital Engineering, based on the SQuaRE product quality model[1]
- Ontological harmonization of concepts in the systems engineering domain of international standards
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology in open-source, machine-readable, standards-based format[2]

https://github.com/systems-praxis/seamless-digital-engineering-ontology

[1] ISO 2014 [2] Wheaton 2025b

⟶ Research Contributions

- Ontological definitions of essential concepts in Seamless Digital Engineering, based on the SQuaRE product quality model[1]
- Ontological harmonization of concepts in the systems engineering domain of international standards
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology in open-source, machine-readable, standards-based format[2]

🔗 https://github.com/systems-praxis/seamless-digital-engineering-ontology

[1] ISO 2014 [2] Wheaton 2025b

2

Background

→ Ontology Development using Protégé and OWL 2

Protégé *is a free, open-source software that allows you to create and manage ontologies for various domains and applications. It supports Semantic Web standards, plug-ins, and web-based access to your knowledge.*

https://protege.stanford.edu/

The ***OWL 2 Web Ontology Language****, informally OWL 2, is an ontology language for the Semantic Web with formally defined meaning. OWL 2 ontologies provide classes, properties, individuals, and data values and are stored as Semantic Web documents.*

https://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-overview/

➞ Ontology Development using Protégé



➞ Logic in Formal Ontology Modeling

OWL Description Logic (DL)[1] is a subset of First-Order Logic (FOL) with extensions:

Symbol	Description
$\mathcal{AL}$	(Attributive Language) Inclusion, equivalence, intersection, and complex definition of classes
$\mathcal{ALC}$	(with Complement) Adds to $\mathcal{AL}$ the empty, complement, union classes [2]
$\mathcal{S}$	Adds the transitivity of relations to $\mathcal{ALC}$
$\mathcal{H}$	Inclusion and equivalence between relations
$\mathcal{O}$	(One of) Classes created with list of all and only the individuals contained
$\mathcal{I}$	(Reverse) Inverse property
$\mathcal{N}$	(Number) Cardinality restriction
$\mathcal{D}_n$	(Countable domain) Definition of domains (data types)

[1] World Wide Web Consortium 2012; ISO 2021a [2] Baader, Horrocks, and Sattler 2008

→ Basic Formal Ontology (BFO)

Basic Formal Ontology[1,2] (BFO-2020 – ISO/IEC 21838-2) contains classes and relations representing content common to all areas of scientific investigation, e.g. object, process, etc. and is used as a top-level architecture by numerous ontologies in the Industrial Ontologies Foundry (IOF), and the **Common Core Ontologies** suite.

Ontologies conformant to BFO promote *interoperability*, *standardization*, and *reuse* among domain-level ontologies.

[1] Otte, Beverley, and Ruttenberg 2022 [2] https://github.com/BFO-ontology/BFO-2020

→ Common Core Ontologies (CCO)

The **Common Core Ontologies**[1,2] comprise twelve (12) ontologies that are designed to represent and integrate taxonomies of generic classes and relations across all domains of interest.

CCO is a mid-level extension of Basic Formal Ontology (BFO), an upper-level ontology framework widely used to structure and integrate ontologies.



[1] Jensen et al. 2024 [2] https://github.com/CommonCoreOntology/CommonCoreOntologies

3

Methodology

Basic Methodology for Ontology Development

Following Noy and McGuinness[1], we adapted their methodology for OWL 2:

1. Determine the domain and scope of the ontology
2. Consider reusing existing ontologies
3. Enumerate important terms in the ontology
4. Define the classes and the class hierarchy
5. Define the object properties
6. Define the domains/ranges of object properties
7. Create instances (individuals) of classes

[1] Noy and McGuinness 2001

➞ Ontology concept definition process using standard sources



4

Ontological Definition of Seamless Digital Engineering

➞ ISO/IEC 25000-series SQuaRE Product Quality Model





➞ The Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology Is Standards-Based

Standard top-level (BFO) and mid-level (CCO) ontologies are the foundation of coherent ontological modeling in the DE domains of knowledge. Relevant international standards include (Table 2):

- **ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288** — System life cycle processes
- **ISO/IEC 25000-series** — Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE)
- **ISO/IEC/IEEE 15026** — Systems and software assurance
- **ISO/IEC/IEEE 42000-series** — Architecture description and processes
- **ISO/IEC 15408** — (“Common Criteria”) Evaluation criteria for IT security
- **ISO/IEC/IEEE 24641** — Methods and tools for MBSSE

➞ Definitions-by-Relations of Concepts in Seamless Digital Engineering



→ Seamless Digital Engineering Paradigm

Listing 1: **'Seamless Digital Engineering Paradigm'** is a subclass of **Paradigm** equivalent to:

```
    Paradigm
and ('has continuant part' some 'Digital Engineering Approach')
and ('is about' some Correct-by-Construction)
and (prescribes some 'Seamless Digital Engineering System')
```

Listing 2: **'Seamless Digital Engineering System'** is a subclass of **'Engineered System'** equivalent to:

```
    'Digital Engineering System'
and 'Engineered System'
and ('is carrier of' some ('High-Integrity Level Claim' and 'Seamless Quality Claim'))
and ('has member part' some 'Trustworthy Computing Base')
```

Listing 3: **Correct-by-Construction** is a subclass of **'Assurance Goal'** equivalent to:

```
    'Assurance Goal'
and ('is concretized by' some 'Integration Process')
and ('is concretized by' some 'Loss of Error')
and (prescribes some 'High-Integrity Level')
and (prescribes some 'Process Outcome')
```

➞ Definitions-by-Relations of Concepts in Seamless Digital Engineering



→ Seamless Quality Claim and Seamless Interaction Capability

Listing 4: **'Seamless Quality Claim'** is a subclass of **'Quality Claim'** equivalent to:

```
    'Quality Claim'
and (prescribes some 'Seamless Integration')
and (prescribes some 'Seamless Interaction Capability')
and (prescribes some 'Seamless Quality-in-Use')
```

Listing 5: **'Seamless Interaction Capability'** is a subclass of **'Product Capability'** equivalent to:

```
    'Product Interaction Capability'
and ('has continuant part' some
        ('Product Compatibility'
     and 'Product Functional Appropriateness'
     and 'Product Functional Completeness'))
and ('specifically depends on' some 'Seamless Integration')
```

➞ Definitions-by-Relations of Concepts in Seamless Digital Engineering



→ Seamless Integration and Seamless Interface

★ Listing 6: **'Seamless Integration'** is a subclass of **'Product Capability'** equivalent to:

```
    'Product Capability'
and ('has realization' some 'Act of Formal Verification')
and ('has continuant part' some
        ('Product Analysability'
     and 'Product Faultlessness'
     and 'Product Functional Correctness'
     and 'Product Integrity'
     and 'Product Safe Integration'))
and ('specifically depends on' some 'Seamless Interface')
```

★ Listing 7: **'Seamless Interface'** is a subclass of **'Interface'**, a subclass of 'Information Bearing Artifact', and is equivalent to:

```
    Interface
and ('has continuant part' some 'Proof Certificate')
and ('prescribed by' some 'System Architecture Model')
and ('is object of' some 'Act of Formal Verification')
```

→ Definitions-by-Relations of Concepts in Seamless Digital Engineering



→ Seamless Quality-in-Use and Trustworthiness

★ Listing 8: **'Seamless Quality-in-Use'** is a subclass of **'Quality-in-Use'** equivalent to:

```
    Quality-in-Use
and ('has continuant part' some
        (Experience
     and Suitability
     and Trustworthiness
     and Usability))
and ('specifically depends on' some
        ('Seamless Integration'
     and 'Seamless Interaction Capability'))
```

Listing 9: **Trustworthiness** is a subclass of **'Acceptability'**, a subclass of **'Product Quality-in-Use'**, equivalent to:

```
    Acceptability
and ('specifically depends on' some
        ('Complete Assurance Case Report'
    and ('is carrier of' some 'Trustworthiness Quality Claim')))
```

5

Conclusions and Future Work

➞ Summary

- Concepts from international standards were defined ontologically and harmonized within the BFO and CCO framework
- The natural-language definition of 'Seamless Digital Engineering'[1] was defined ontologically, by relation to harmonized standards-based concepts in systems engineering
  - 'Seamless Integration' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Interface'
  - 'Seamless Interaction Capability' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Integration' and other SQuaRE quality characteristics
  - 'Seamless Quality-in-Use' is a quality-in-use super characteristic which depends on 'Seamless Integration' and 'Seamless Interaction Capability'
  - 'Seamless Quality Claim' is a Claim that prescribes these three quality characteristics
- 'Trustworthiness' SQuaRE Quality-in-Use characteristic was defined ontologically based on assurance case and claim concepts defined by ISO/IEC/IEEE 15026[2]
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology[3] includes over 500 concepts and 150 axioms, is machine-readable, standards-based, and open-source

[1] Wheaton and Herber 2024 [2] ISO 2019b; ISO 2022c; ISO 2021b [3] Wheaton 2025b

➞ Summary

- Concepts from international standards were defined ontologically and harmonized within the BFO and CCO framework
- The natural-language definition of 'Seamless Digital Engineering'[1] was defined ontologically, by relation to harmonized standards-based concepts in systems engineering
  - 'Seamless Integration' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Interface'
  - 'Seamless Interaction Capability' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Integration' and other SQuaRE quality characteristics
  - 'Seamless Quality-in-Use' is a quality-in-use super characteristic which depends on 'Seamless Integration' and 'Seamless Interaction Capability'
  - 'Seamless Quality Claim' is a Claim that prescribes these three quality characteristics
- 'Trustworthiness' SQuaRE Quality-in-Use characteristic was defined ontologically based on assurance case and claim concepts defined by ISO/IEC/IEEE 15026[2]
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology[3] includes over 500 concepts and 150 axioms, is machine-readable, standards-based, and open-source

[1] Wheaton and Herber 2024 [2] ISO 2019b; ISO 2022c; ISO 2021b [3] Wheaton 2025b

➞ Summary

- Concepts from international standards were defined ontologically and harmonized within the BFO and CCO framework
- The natural-language definition of 'Seamless Digital Engineering'[1] was defined ontologically, by relation to harmonized standards-based concepts in systems engineering
  - 'Seamless Integration' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Interface'
  - 'Seamless Interaction Capability' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Integration' and other SQuaRE quality characteristics
  - 'Seamless Quality-in-Use' is a quality-in-use super characteristic which depends on 'Seamless Integration' and 'Seamless Interaction Capability'
  - 'Seamless Quality Claim' is a Claim that prescribes these three quality characteristics
- 'Trustworthiness' SQuaRE Quality-in-Use characteristic was defined ontologically based on assurance case and claim concepts defined by ISO/IEC/IEEE 15026[2]
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology[3] includes over 500 concepts and 150 axioms, is machine-readable, standards-based, and open-source

[1] Wheaton and Herber 2024 [2] ISO 2019b; ISO 2022c; ISO 2021b [3] Wheaton 2025b

➞ Summary

- Concepts from international standards were defined ontologically and harmonized within the BFO and CCO framework
- The natural-language definition of 'Seamless Digital Engineering'[1] was defined ontologically, by relation to harmonized standards-based concepts in systems engineering
  - 'Seamless Integration' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Interface'
  - 'Seamless Interaction Capability' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Integration' and other SQuaRE quality characteristics
  - 'Seamless Quality-in-Use' is a quality-in-use super characteristic which depends on 'Seamless Integration' and 'Seamless Interaction Capability'
  - 'Seamless Quality Claim' is a Claim that prescribes these three quality characteristics
- 'Trustworthiness' SQuaRE Quality-in-Use characteristic was defined ontologically based on assurance case and claim concepts defined by ISO/IEC/IEEE 15026[2]
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology[3] includes over 500 concepts and 150 axioms, is machine-readable, standards-based, and open-source

[1] Wheaton and Herber 2024 [2] ISO 2019b; ISO 2022c; ISO 2021b [3] Wheaton 2025b

➞ Summary

- Concepts from international standards were defined ontologically and harmonized within the BFO and CCO framework
- The natural-language definition of 'Seamless Digital Engineering'[1] was defined ontologically, by relation to harmonized standards-based concepts in systems engineering
  - 'Seamless Integration' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Interface'
  - 'Seamless Interaction Capability' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Integration' and other SQuaRE quality characteristics
  - 'Seamless Quality-in-Use' is a quality-in-use super characteristic which depends on 'Seamless Integration' and 'Seamless Interaction Capability'
  - 'Seamless Quality Claim' is a Claim that prescribes these three quality characteristics
- 'Trustworthiness' SQuaRE Quality-in-Use characteristic was defined ontologically based on assurance case and claim concepts defined by ISO/IEC/IEEE 15026[2]
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology[3] includes over 500 concepts and 150 axioms, is machine-readable, standards-based, and open-source

[1] Wheaton and Herber 2024 [2] ISO 2019b; ISO 2022c; ISO 2021b [3] Wheaton 2025b

➞ Summary

- Concepts from international standards were defined ontologically and harmonized within the BFO and CCO framework
- The natural-language definition of 'Seamless Digital Engineering'[1] was defined ontologically, by relation to harmonized standards-based concepts in systems engineering
  - 'Seamless Integration' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Interface'
  - 'Seamless Interaction Capability' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Integration' and other SQuaRE quality characteristics
  - 'Seamless Quality-in-Use' is a quality-in-use super characteristic which depends on 'Seamless Integration' and 'Seamless Interaction Capability'
  - 'Seamless Quality Claim' is a Claim that prescribes these three quality characteristics
- 'Trustworthiness' SQuaRE Quality-in-Use characteristic was defined ontologically based on assurance case and claim concepts defined by ISO/IEC/IEEE 15026[2]
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology[3] includes over 500 concepts and 150 axioms, is machine-readable, standards-based, and open-source

[1] Wheaton and Herber 2024 [2] ISO 2019b; ISO 2022c; ISO 2021b [3] Wheaton 2025b

➞ Summary

- Concepts from international standards were defined ontologically and harmonized within the BFO and CCO framework
- The natural-language definition of 'Seamless Digital Engineering'[1] was defined ontologically, by relation to harmonized standards-based concepts in systems engineering
  - 'Seamless Integration' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Interface'
  - 'Seamless Interaction Capability' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Integration' and other SQuaRE quality characteristics
  - 'Seamless Quality-in-Use' is a quality-in-use super characteristic which depends on 'Seamless Integration' and 'Seamless Interaction Capability'
  - 'Seamless Quality Claim' is a Claim that prescribes these three quality characteristics
- 'Trustworthiness' SQuaRE Quality-in-Use characteristic was defined ontologically based on assurance case and claim concepts defined by ISO/IEC/IEEE 15026[2]
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology[3] includes over 500 concepts and 150 axioms, is machine-readable, standards-based, and open-source

[1] Wheaton and Herber 2024 [2] ISO 2019b; ISO 2022c; ISO 2021b [3] Wheaton 2025b

➞ Summary

- Concepts from international standards were defined ontologically and harmonized within the BFO and CCO framework
- The natural-language definition of 'Seamless Digital Engineering'[1] was defined ontologically, by relation to harmonized standards-based concepts in systems engineering
  - 'Seamless Integration' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Interface'
  - 'Seamless Interaction Capability' is a 'Product Capability' dependent on 'Seamless Integration' and other SQuaRE quality characteristics
  - 'Seamless Quality-in-Use' is a quality-in-use super characteristic which depends on 'Seamless Integration' and 'Seamless Interaction Capability'
  - 'Seamless Quality Claim' is a Claim that prescribes these three quality characteristics
- 'Trustworthiness' SQuaRE Quality-in-Use characteristic was defined ontologically based on assurance case and claim concepts defined by ISO/IEC/IEEE 15026[2]
- Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology[3] includes over 500 concepts and 150 axioms, is machine-readable, standards-based, and open-source

[1] Wheaton and Herber 2024 [2] ISO 2019b; ISO 2022c; ISO 2021b [3] Wheaton 2025b

⟶ Future Work

- Completion of ontological definitions of SQuaRE product quality and quality-in-use characteristics
- Continued ontology development of concepts in systems engineering and digital engineering
- Modularization of ontologies as in the proposed import hierarchy shown in Figure 2
- Eventually, model-based and ontology-based international standards in systems engineering and digital engineering

⟶ Future Work

- Completion of ontological definitions of SQuaRE product quality and quality-in-use characteristics
- Continued ontology development of concepts in systems engineering and digital engineering
- Modularization of ontologies as in the proposed import hierarchy shown in Figure 2
- Eventually, model-based and ontology-based international standards in systems engineering and digital engineering

⟶ Future Work

- Completion of ontological definitions of SQuaRE product quality and quality-in-use characteristics
- Continued ontology development of concepts in systems engineering and digital engineering
- Modularization of ontologies as in the proposed import hierarchy shown in Figure 2
- Eventually, model-based and ontology-based international standards in systems engineering and digital engineering

⟶ Future Work

- Completion of ontological definitions of SQuaRE product quality and quality-in-use characteristics
- Continued ontology development of concepts in systems engineering and digital engineering
- Modularization of ontologies as in the proposed import hierarchy shown in Figure 2
- Eventually, model-based and ontology-based international standards in systems engineering and digital engineering

→ Questions?

https://github.com/systems-praxis/seamless-digital-engineering-ontology

Questions? Comments?

Shoutout to Joe Gregory and the INCOSE DEIX WG!

https://github.com/INCOSE/DEIX_Ontology

References

- F. Baader, I. Horrocks, and U. Sattler (2008). "Description Logics". *Handbook of Knowledge Representation*. Ed. by F. van Harmelen, V. Lifschitz, and B. Porter. 1st ed. Vol. 3. Foundations of Artificial Intelligence. Elsevier. Chap. 3. ISBN: 9780080557021. DOI: 10.1016/S1574-6526(07)03003-9
- M. Broy (2009). "Seamless Model Driven Systems Engineering Based on Formal Models". *Formal Methods and Software Engineering*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-10373-5_1
- — (2020). "Seamless Model-Based System Development: Foundations". *Engineering Trustworthy Software Systems*. Springer, Cham. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-55089-9_1
- M. Broy et al. (2010). "Seamless Model-Based Development: From Isolated Tools to Integrated Model Engineering Environments". *Proceedings of the IEEE* 98.4. DOI: 10.1109/JPROC.2009.2037771
- J. D. Claxton, C. Cavoli, and C. Johnson (2005). *Test and Evaluation Management Guide*. Tech. rep. ADA436591. Defense Acquisition University
- DARPA (2010a). *Clean-slate design of Resilient, Adaptive, Secure Hosts (CRASH)*. Broad Agency Announcement DARPA-BAA-10-70. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
- — (2010b). *META-II*. Broad Agency Announcement DARPA-BAA-10-59. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
- — (2015). *Circuit Realization At Faster Timescales (CRAFT)*. Broad Agency Announcement DARPA-BAA-15-55. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
- M. D. Griffin et al. (2018). *Digital Engineering Strategy*.

References (Continued)

- T. Hoare (2003). "The verifying compiler: A grand challenge for computing research". *International Conference on Compiler Construction*. Springer. DOI: 10.1145/602382.602403
- ISO (2014). *Systems and software engineering — Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) — Guide to SQuaRE*. ISO/IEC/IEEE Standard 25000:2014. International Organization for Standardization
- — (2019a). *Software, systems and enterprise — Architecture processes*. ISO/IEC/IEEE Standard 42020:2019. International Organization for Standardization
- — (2019b). *Systems and software engineering — Systems and software assurance — Part 1: Concepts and vocabulary*. ISO/IEC/IEEE Standard 15026-1:2019. International Organization for Standardization
- — (2021a). *Information technology — Top-level ontologies (TLO) — Part 2: Basic Formal Ontology (BFO)*. ISO/IEC Standard 21838-2:2021. International Organization for Standardization
- — (2021b). *Systems and software engineering — Systems and software assurance — Part 4: Assurance in the life cycle*. ISO/IEC/IEEE Standard 15026-4:2021. International Organization for Standardization
- — (2022a). *Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection — Evaluation criteria for IT security — Part 1: Introduction and general model*. ISO/IEC/IEEE Standard 15408-1:2022. International Organization for Standardization
- — (2022b). *Software, systems and enterprise — Architecture description*. ISO/IEC/IEEE Standard 42010:2022. International Organization for Standardization

References (Continued)

- ISO (2022c). *Systems and software engineering — Systems and software assurance — Part 2: Assurance case*. ISO/IEC/IEEE Standard 15026-2:2022. International Organization for Standardization
- — (2023a). *Systems and software engineering — Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) — Product quality model*. ISO/IEC/IEEE Standard 25010:2023. International Organization for Standardization
- — (2023b). *Systems and software engineering — Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) — Quality-in-use model*. ISO/IEC/IEEE Standard 25019:2023. International Organization for Standardization
- — (2023c). *Systems and software engineering: System life cycle processes*. ISO/IEC/IEEE Standard 15288:2023. International Organization for Standardization
- M. Jensen et al. (2024). *The Common Core Ontologies*. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2404.17758
- J. S. Moore (2003). "A Grand Challenge Proposal for Formal Methods: A Verified Stack". *Formal Methods at the Crossroads. From Panacea to Foundational Support: 10th Anniversary Colloquium of UNU/IIST, the International Institute for Software Technology of The United Nations University, Lisbon, Portugal, March 18-20, 2002. Revised Papers*. Ed. by B. K. Aichernig and T. Maibaum. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. ISBN: 978-3-540-40007-3. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-40007-3_11
- R. Munroe (2020). *Dependency*. URL: https://xkcd.com/2347/
- N. F. Noy and D. L. McGuinness (2001). *Ontology development 101: A guide to creating your first ontology*. Tech. rep. KSL-01-05. Stanford University

References (Continued)

- J. N. Otte, J. Beverley, and A. Ruttenberg (2022). "BFO: Basic Formal Ontology". *Applied Ontology* 17.1. Ed. by S. Borgo, A. Galton, and O. Kutz. ISSN: 1570-5838. DOI: 10.3233/ao-220262
- J. S. Wheaton (2025a). "Bootstrapping a Trustworthy and Seamless Digital Engineering Appliance". PhD thesis. Colorado State University
- — (2025b). *Seamless Digital Engineering Ontology*. URL: https://github.com/systems-praxis/seamless-digital-engineering-ontology
- J. S. Wheaton and D. R. Herber (2024). "Seamless Digital Engineering: A Grand Challenge Driven by Needs". *AIAA SCITECH 2024 Forum*. AIAA 2024-1053. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics. DOI: 10.2514/6.2024-1053
- World Wide Web Consortium (2012). *OWL 2 Web Ontology Language Primer*. W3C Recommendation. Retrieved May 15, 2025. World Wide Web Consortium. URL: https://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-primer/